App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Dmy 18 25-1 8

1930.
(Dmyrai)

File No. 196-30
Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for January 1931

SUBJECT.

Letter from Sri Shamanna Archak of Bettadapur, Venkataramana Swamy temple at Channarayapatna in respect of reconsideration of orders about resumption of certain Survey Nos. in the Kogaungatta village of Harohalli

---

The petn is rejected

File

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. — of 1930

Serial No.

Appeal Petition of 14.11.30 from Ramaiah Son of Timmalayya Archak of Bellada Venkataramana Swamy Temple at Navalakere Taluk Hubli Stolen Taluk requesting that the order No 691-2/Mag 18-29-4 dt 24-9-29 be set aside and praying that lands restored to them be taken over by him for reasons set forth therein

(1) Hon'ble is invited to order in Mag Review 13 of 29-30 - The petitioner has already been informed that there are no reasons to reconsider the order passed by us in No 691-2/Mag 18-29-4 dt 24/9/29.

2) Hence there are no fresh grounds for a further consideration of the request, the appeal, may be filed after perusal -

3) Submitted.

29/11

4) An endorsement may perhaps be issued to the petitioner to the effect that the order already issued in the case is final & that no reconsideration is entertained.

2/12/30

yes

29.11.3

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL — D.O. No. 3426

270 4/12

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL — 26 DEC 1930 — No. 6438

5) Issued

For orders

3/12/30

H. Lacy

May be rejected

1st member 3/12/30

3.12.30

WI 51573—GPB—50 000—8-30

2)

Mus File No. 196 of 193 0

Dft Encls submd

5-5 MBA
10/1

Issue
12.1.31

No 153 C dy 14.1.31 to Mrs Ramanna the petitioner intimating that the petition is rejected

16/01/31

Dmd 15/14-3

To TWO RUPEES

The Deputy Commissioner,
BANGALORE.

OFFICE OF THE DEV... MYSORE. Date of I... Letter ...

The Humble Petition of Shamanna, son of Timmaiyya, Archak of Bettada Venkataramanaswami Temple at Mavinakere Halekote Hobli, Hole-Narsipur Taluk, showeth as follows:

GENL. & REV: SECRETARIAT 20 NOV 1930 739 MUZRAI.

The Facts of the Case.

1. That the petitioner is the Archak of Bettada Venkataramanaswami Temple situated at Mavinakere Halekote Hobli, Hole-Narsipur Taluk, and is performing the duties regularly and is enjoying certain emoluments. The temple on the hill at Mavinakere has got Kayamgutta Haradanahalli, and S. Nos. 40 and 41 of Mavinakere as Inam lands attached to the temple.

Muz: 196-30-1

310

2. That the Kayamgutta village yields about Rs.305/- annually out of which the archaka pay Rs.144-0-0 to the Government and out of the balance they carry on the worship at the temple and the usual sevas. That the said village belongs to the temple on the hill and farther back than 1870 the Inam stands in the name of the said temple. That in the year 1880. the patta has also been made in the name of the Diety of the Temple on the Hill. That for the last 7 or 8 years one Ananthabhatta, the Archak of the Venkataramanaswami Temple in the village started proceedings claiming S. Nos. 40 and 41, Mavinakere village as belonging to him, and the matter was set at rest by the order of the learned Muzarai Commissioner, Muz. Appeal 30 of 24-25, in which that Honourable Court upheld the decision passed after Inquiry by the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan, in Muz. Appeal 3 of 24-25, which held that the lands belonged to the petitioners.

3.That at the utmost the petitioner could have been according to law dealt with under Section of the Muzrai Regulation, and ordered to pay a fine by way of penalty.

4. That in any event the order of resumption without granting an opportunity for the petitioner to prove his innocence is opposed to law, equity and principles of natural justice.

5. That the Government have ignored the fact that the petitioner and the other archaks had brought all the account books to be submitted to their scrutiny the moment they heard about the action of the Government.

6. That at the worst the case of the Government is that the petitioner and the other archaks did not submit the accounts in time, and not that they mis-managed the temple properties or mis-appropriated the funds whose out is beyond all proportion to the mistake committed.

7. That this petitioner is willing and ready to pay the amount borrowed from the Village Panchayti Fund for purposes of the Rathothsava held last year, together with interest.

8. That he further gives an undertaking to properly and regularly conduct the Rathothsava every year at Mavinakere, as was done in past years.

9. That he further undertakes to file all the accounts for the previous years, and to maintain and render proper and detailed accounts for the management of the temple Inam in future, and to obey the Muzrai authorities in all matters that pertain to the management and seva of the temple.

10. Wherefore the petitioner humbly prays that this Government will be pleased to set aside the order of the First Councillor refusing to interfere with the previous order directing resumption of lands by the Government,

and limit that the lands be given over to the

possession of the petitioner on his furnishing a proper

security for [illegible] years and entering into

[illegible] from time to time in

the Navinakere Rathotsava

[illegible].

Petitioner.

Please quote this number in your future communications.
No. 1550

Office of the .....

Dated 14/1/..... 1931.

MRS 196-30

ENDORSEMENT.

To

Mr Shamanna. Son of Timmalayya
Archak of Bellada Venkataramana swamy
temple at Mavinakere
Halekote Hobli - Holenarasipura Tk.

Reference:—His/~~Her letter~~/petition dated 14-11-30 addressed to the Dewan.

Subject:—

Reconsideration of order already passed about the resumption of the Karyamgulla Village of Hanadanahalli - S. Nos 40 & 41 of Mavinakere Village - Holenarasipura Taluk.

Reference is invited to item No 1 below.

Signature. 12.1.31

Designation. ~~For~~ See Sol one
R.S ~~H~~ Dept.

---

1. ~~The request cannot be granted.~~ The petn is rejected.
2. The letter/petition has been referred to ..... for.....
3. Attention is drawn to this office No..... dated .....
4. No..... dated..... cannot be traced; a copy may be sent.
5. The enclosures are not received.
6. The petition is returned herewith as
   (i) it is not in the prescribed form
   or (ii) it is not duly stamped
   or (iii) it is not presented within the prescribed time
   or (iv) it is not accompanied by a copy of the order appealed from or complained of.
7. The petition is returned for presentation to .....
8. The petition is returned
   (*a* as it is written illegibly
   (*b*) as its subject matter is not clear
   (*c*) as it is couched in improper language.

Reasons if any to be mentioned in brief

WD 01724—GPB—8,000—28-4-28